

**City of Wichita, Kansas**  
**Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plan**

**Longview Neighborhood Park**

3914 East Longview

**July 2005**



Prepared by

**DMCG**  
**Disability Management Consulting Group L.L.C.**  
2801 Jonquil Place  
Columbia, MO 65202

In conjunction with

**The Great Plains ADA & IT Center and the City of Wichita Disability Advisory Board**

---

City of Wichita – ADA/504 Transition Plan – Longview Park - July 2005

Legend: **Blue** font identifies hyperlinked documents – **Red** font indicates recommended changes to structures or policies

Locations	Structural Inconsistencies		Recommended Corrections/Modifications to Ensure Program Access	Criteria – L=low, M=medium, H=high			Supplemental Technical Information			Finalized Actions		
Location	Identified Issue	ADAAG Specifications	Recommended Correction	Priority (overall)	Public Access	Frequency - PWD	Photo #	Conceptual Costs	Support Information	Finalized Correction	Date to be Corrected	Date Completed (Include initial)
1. Play Equipment	A stable, firm and slip resistant surface does not exist at the elevated play structure exit points; and does not exist in the use zone around the play structure.	<a href="#">15.6.4</a> <a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">(GAD AAG FR)</a>	Since ADAAG does not specifically provide guidance on how to make play areas accessible, we are required to examine existing federal information, which is currently not part of the ADA and, therefore, not enforceable guidance. Two such documents exist; the <a href="#">Play Areas, Final Rule</a> , October, 18 <sup>th</sup> , 2000 (Play Areas Final Rule); and the <a href="#">New ADAAG</a> , November 24 <sup>th</sup> , 2004, Chapter 2, 240 Play Areas and Chapter 10, 1008 Play areas (New ADAAG). In essence, both of the Play Areas Final Rule and New ADAAG are identical and both were created by the U.S. Access Board. The elevated composite play structure within this play area is accessible according to the Play Areas – Final Rule. However, Accessible routes do not lead from the structures exit points due to surface cross slopes greater than 1:50, running slopes greater than 1:12, and abrupt changes in level greater than ½-inch. In other words, the existing sand surface is not considered an accessible surface. The composite play structure does have a rubber accessible surface which leads to it, but no such surface leads from its exit points, nor does an accessible surface exist in the use zone. <b>To ensure an accessible route surface, not requiring regular maintenance, which is also impact attenuating, we recommend that one accessible path of travel, be installed which consists of either rubber tiles or poured rubber, leading the composite play structure’s exit points. We also recommend that a rubber surface be provided in the use zone of the composite play structure. However, such rubber surface is not technically required by the available accessibility guidance.</b> (Note: A well maintained wood fiber surface, as defined in <a href="#">(SEWFAPS)</a> , is also considered accessible under the conditions that such surface is maintained regularly.	L	M	M	<a href="#">3</a> <a href="#">4</a> <a href="#">5</a>	\$3,000	For additional qualitative guidance we utilized “ <a href="#">Guide to the ADAAG &amp; Final Rule (GADAAGFR)</a> ” and “ <a href="#">Stabilized Engineered Wood Fiber for Accessible Playground Surfaces, Final Report (SEWFAPS)</a> : Phase III, December 2004. <i>(not enforceable)</i>	Create an accessible route of travel to all play areas and provide a rubber surface in the use zones of each play area.	12/31/16	

City of Wichita – ADA/504 Transition Plan – Longview Park - July 2005

Legend: Blue font identifies hyperlinked documents – Red font indicates recommended changes to structures or policies

Locations	Structural Inconsistencies		Recommended Corrections/Modifications to Ensure Program Access	Criteria – L=low, M=medium, H=high			Supplemental Technical Information			Finalized Actions		
Location	Identified Issue	ADAAG Specifications	Recommended Correction	Priority (overall)	Public Access	Frequency - PWD	Photo #	Conceptual Costs	Support Information	Finalized Correction	Date to be Corrected	Date Completed (Include initial)
2. Curb Ramp	The curb ramp, leading to the park from the street, does not provide an appropriate detectable warning surface and has a steep running slope.	<a href="#">4.7.7</a>	Since these curb ramps lead to a cross-vehicular traffic way, the necessity of detectable warning surface is magnified. The existing curb cut has a detectable warning surface. However, this detectable warning does not comply with current ADAAG specifications regarding truncated domes. The running slopes of curb ramps are required to be no steeper than 1:12 (8.33%). The running slope of the existing curb ramp measures over 11%. <b>Modify the existing curb ramp to comply with ADAAG specifications pertaining to a detectable warning surfaces and running slopes.</b>	H ®	H	M	<a href="#">1</a> <a href="#">2</a>	\$600	<a href="#">Detectable Warning Suspension</a>  <a href="#">Detectable Warning Specifications #1, #2</a>  <a href="#">Building Block 5 – Curb Ramps</a>	<b>Provide truncated domes detectable warning surface at this curb ramp.</b>	12/31/09	

**Longview Park - Conceptual Cost Projections**

Total	\$3,600
Year Three (Low)	\$3,000
Year Five (Medium)	\$0
Year Ten (High)	\$600