

# Consolidated Plan

2014-2018

2014-2015 Annual Performance Report

**DRAFT – FOR PUBLIC REVIEW & COMMENT**



## City of Wichita

Department of Housing & Community Services

Mary K. Vaughn, Director

332 N. Riverview

Wichita, KS 67203



*"Creating Communities of Choice"*

## **Public Participation**

The City of Wichita Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Draft Report will be available for public review from August 24, 2015 until September 7, 2015 online and at the following locations:

### City Hall

- City Council Office

Housing and Community Services Department

### Wichita Public Library

- Central Library
- Lionel Alford
- Ford Rockwell
- Westlink

### Neighborhood City Halls

- Atwater
- Evergreen
- Colvin

**Oral comments may be made at the public hearing held at 9:00 a.m. September 22, 2015 during the City Council meeting on the first floor of City Hall, 455 N. Main, Wichita, Kansas.**

### **Written comments may be submitted to:**

City of Wichita

Housing and Community Services Department

332 N. Riverview

Wichita, KS, 67203

Comments may also be made via email to: [llank@wichita.gov](mailto:llank@wichita.gov)

## CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

### Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

Actual resources for the City of Wichita's 2014-15 program year were close to staff estimates which resulted in expenditures at prior program levels (and increased for ESG). One program of note is the summer youth employment program, The Way to Work. This program provides job placements and employee skill development for 14 and 15 year old youth living in Housing Authority properties. In the 2015 program, 40 additional 14 and 15 year olds were placed. A pilot program funded by Community Service Block Grant, placed 20 youth who were 16 and 17 and who participated in the original program when they were younger. The program was very successful and a model for blending the two funding sources.

### Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Boarded Up House Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG / HOME: \$125,000	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	2	2	100.00%	2	2	100.00%
Home Repair	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	2	0	0	2	0	0.00%
Home Repair	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ HOME: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Home Repair	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1,142,000 HOME: \$	Other	Other	275	226	0.00%	275	226	82.18%
Homebuyer Assistance	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$319,972	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	15	38	9	15	38	253.33%
Homeless Assistance (Shelters)	Homeless	ESG: \$105,766	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	500	959	7.60%	500	959	100%
Homeless Assistance (Shelters)	Homeless	ESG: \$29,400	Other	Other	1500	2,340	0.00%	1500	2,340	100%
Homeless Prevention	Homeless	ESG: \$18,124	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	18	93	0.00%	18	93	100%
Housing First	Homeless	CDBG: \$68,500 ESG: \$10,000	Other	Other	64	74	0.00%	64	74	100%
Neighborhood Stabilization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$532,020	Other	Other	5000	28,955	0.00%	5000	28,955	100%
New Housing Development (single/multi-family)	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	11	22	200.00%	11	22	200.00%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Office of Community Engagement	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$385,000	Other	Other	5000	27,375	0.00%	5000	27,375	100%
Program Administration	Program Administration	CDBG: \$458,609 HOME: \$110,784 ESG: \$7,910	Other	Other	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rapid Re-Housing	Homeless	ESG: \$54,370	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	28	146	0.00%	28	146	100%
Rental Housing Repair	Affordable Housing		Other	Other	0	0				
Training and Employment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$275,000	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	125	176	0.00%	125	176	100%
Women's Services	Homeless	CDBG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	400	0	0.00%	400	454	113.50%
Youth Enrichment and Crime Prevention	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$125,000	Other	Other	4000	4502	112.55%	4000	4502	112.55%

**Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date**

**Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan,**

**giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.**

Activity priorities were identified through citizen input during the development of the Consolidated Plan. The City's use of funds followed these priorities, specifically the top three: housing (home repair, new housing development, and homebuyer assistance); neighborhood stabilization (street, sidewalk, infrastructure); and public services (Housing First, Office of Community Engagement, training and employment and youth enrichment and crime prevention). The majority of expenditures were in the housing priority for CDBG and HOME. Neighborhood stabilization activities were identified and implemented, including sidewalk repair/installation, two park improvement activities, and designs were completed for a street-paving project. Public services funds were fully expended for service for survivors of domestic violence, summer youth employment, and staff support for the Housing First and Citizen Engagement programs.

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	2,000	66	2066
Black or African American	787	55	1291
Asian	101	4	37
American Indian or American Native	73	1	216
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	15	0	056
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,976</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>3538</b>
Hispanic	1,190	40	311
Not Hispanic	1,786	86	3074

**Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds**

### Narrative

Due to an error in the HUD IDIS system data base, all race codes are not displayed in the above table, thus the total number of families assisted is not reflected above.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

### Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	Federal	3,261,129	2,275,370
HOME	Federal	1,238,857	1,490,925
ESG	Federal	225,915	209,345

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

### Narrative

### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
N/A			

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

### Narrative

During this program year, investments were distributed on a city-wide basis.

## Leveraging

**Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.**

A variety of funding sources were leveraged by City HOME funds for projects completed during the year and projects currently in progress. Local lenders and agencies provided over \$2,482,465 in first mortgage loans in order to facilitate home purchases assisted through the HOMEownership 80 program. Local lenders and other organizations also provided over \$1,093,708 in private construction financing in order to leverage CHDO single-family construction projects completed during the program year. Local non-profit CHDOs, lenders, and Habitat for Humanity provided \$74,500 in additional down payment and closing costs assistance for HOMEownership 80 program clients through the Federal Home Loan Bank's Affordable Housing Program (AHP) and other initiatives.

The City's Neighborhood Revitalization Program continues to provide for a waiver of permit fees, water/sewer tap and plant equity fees for homes constructed in the City's designated Neighborhood Revitalization Area. These fees were waived in connection with the construction of 24 single-family homes in the designated area.

<b>Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match</b>	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	3,343,806
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	308,195
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	3,652,001
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	396,109
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	3,255,891

**Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report**

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
01-2553	07/11/2014	4,000	4,795	0	0	0	0	8,795
02-2554	07/11/2014	4,000	4,788	0	0	0	0	8,788
03-2561	07/30/2014	4,000	23,850	0	0	0	0	27,850
04-2556	08/08/2014	0	4,809	0	0	0	0	4,809
05-2552	08/14/2014	0	1,061	0	0	0	0	1,061
06-2555	08/15/2014	4,000	4,788	0	0	0	0	8,788
07-2589	08/20/2014	5,000	21,872	0	0	0	0	26,872
08-2604	09/25/2014	5,000	20,009	0	0	0	0	25,009
09-2563	11/03/2014	4,000	4,795	0	0	0	0	8,795
10-2533	11/05/2014	4,000	1,068	0	0	0	0	5,068
11-2564	12/05/2014	4,000	4,795	0	0	0	0	8,795
12-2565	12/05/2014	4,000	4,795	0	0	0	0	8,795
13-2615	12/17/2014	4,000	18,151	0	0	0	0	22,151
14-2614	12/17/2014	4,000	19,631	0	0	0	0	23,631
15-2599	01/26/2015	1,500	865	0	0	0	0	2,365
16-2620	01/29/2015	5,000	18,512	0	0	0	0	23,512
17-2619	04/17/2015	0	4,624	3,300	0	0	0	7,924
18-2637	05/14/2015	2,000	18,151	0	0	0	0	20,151
19-2607	05/15/2015	5,500	819	0	0	0	0	6,319
20-2611	05/28/2015	5,500	823	0	0	0	0	6,323
21-2626	05/29/2015	0	899	0	0	0	0	899
22-2609	06/08/2015	0	804	0	0	0	0	804
23-2638	06/17/2015	0	23,262	0	0	0	0	23,262
24-2602	06/30/2015	5,000	22,429	0	0	0	0	27,429

**Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year**

**HOME MBE/WBE report**

<b>Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period</b>				
<b>Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period</b> \$	<b>Amount received during reporting period</b> \$	<b>Total amount expended during reporting period</b> \$	<b>Amount expended for TBRA</b> \$	<b>Balance on hand at end of reporting period</b> \$
0	642,024	609,213	0	32,811

**Table 7 – Program Income**

<b>Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period</b>						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	1,003,564	0	0	50,000	38,278	915,286
Number	27	0	0	1	1	25
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	193	0	1	0	1	191
Dollar Amount	1,222,019	0	4,965	0	2,915	1,214,139
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	1,003,564	0	1,003,564			
Number	27	0	27			
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	193	0	193			
Dollar Amount	1,222,019	0	1,222,019			

**Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises**

<b>Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted</b>						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property**

<b>Relocation and Real Property Acquisition</b> – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		10	68,000			
Businesses Displaced		0	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0	0			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition**

**CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)**

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	404	404*
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	150	150*
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	368	368*
<b>Total</b>	<b>922</b>	

**Table 11 – Number of Households**

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	2,806	2,636
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	13	24
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	2	2
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	2	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,823</b>	<b>2,676</b>

**Table 12 – Number of Households Supported**

**Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.**

\*This preliminary draft includes estimated numbers, which will be finalized prior to submission.

**Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.**

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	NA	2
Low-income	NA	16
Moderate-income	NA	22

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Total	NA	40

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

## **CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)**

**Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:**

### **Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

The City of Wichita Police Department's Homeless Outreach Team (HOT) has continued to reach out to and engage unsheltered homeless persons. Their efforts resulted in 31 placements through the City's Housing First program. In addition they were able to reconnect some members of this population to family and when necessary, fund transportation to communities where housing through family members was available. The Wichita Children's Home also provides outreach to unsheltered youth through their SOS (Street Outreach Services) program and the drop-in center for homeless youth – OZ (Opportunity Zone).

The City also provides ESG funding for the United Methodist Open Door Resource and Referral Center, where homeless individuals and families can receive a variety of services (such as lunch, laundry and shower facilities, mail and phone services, etc.) as well as needs assessment and referrals for medical assistance, counseling, legal services and veteran's services, many of which are available on site.

### **Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

The Wichita Sedgwick County Continuum of Care continues to monitor and update records relative to the emergency and transitional housing needs of homeless persons. During the report period, the City of Wichita provided funding for emergency shelter and transitional housing services through the Emergency Solutions Grant. Funding was provided for essential services and operations of two emergency shelters serving homeless individuals and families, two shelters for homeless victims of domestic violence, one transitional housing shelter, one winter overflow shelter, and a day shelter program for homeless individuals, which collectively sheltered 3,299 adults and children.

### **Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

The City of Wichita's Housing First program is the primary vehicle through which chronically homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing. The program works on referrals from community

agencies and the Police Homeless Outreach Team who also agree to provide weekly case management services for persons who are placed in housing. The CDBG costs for this program include staff only. The City and County general fund budgets cover all housing costs until/unless the client can contribute toward those costs from his or her income. Initial budgets for housing costs total \$324,355 with the City and County each contributing half. During the report period, a total of 74 persons were placed through this program (including 31 referrals from the HOT). In addition, special efforts have also focused on homeless veterans through the VASH program. Eligible veterans are referred to the Housing Authority Section 8 staff who provide the voucher. Staff at the VA continue to provide support services to help ensure stability once they are housed. Other community resources contributing to this effort include The Salvation Army, StepStone, Inc. whose focus is on survivors of domestic violence, and the Wichita Children's Home – all of whom provide transitional housing programs to help ensure permanent housing stability.

**Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs**

The City partners with a community agency to provide rent payments to low income persons who are facing eviction. The City provides funding with Emergency Solutions Grant funds; the agency screens for eligibility and provides budget counseling. A similar program provides assistance for water bill payments only and is funded by community donations. There is one major program provider which addresses the needs of youth who are in crisis relative to their housing needs. That provider, the Wichita Children's Home, recently completed phase one of their planned program expansion which 30 apartments and two studio units in their transitional housing program.

## **CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)**

### **Actions taken to address the needs of public housing**

- Expand the supply of affordable housing by applying for additional rental vouchers with adequate administrative funds and reduce public housing vacancies: Wichita Housing Authority (WHA) applied for and received 33 VASH Vouchers for a total of 198
- Improve the quality of management performance as monitored by Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS) and Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) scores; SEMAP = 92; PHAS = 81
- Bring higher income public housing households into lower income developments and, promote income mixing in Section 8 housing, and designated housing for the elderly.
- Promote self-sufficiency by increasing the percentage of employed persons in assisted housing, attracting supportive services to improve assisted clients' employability and independence for the elderly and disabled.
- Limit public housing to persons at or below 80% of the area median income.
- Increase economic sustainability by helping families living in Section 8 and Public Housing achieve economic security through Individual and Family Development activities and introduce youths age 14 & 15 to a culture of work through summer youth employment and job skills training.
- To ensure equal opportunity and affirmatively further fair housing WHA has fair housing posters in several languages hung on the office walls and public housing residences that inform readers and tenants how to report and file Fair Housing Violations. WHA public hearings are advertised in the Wichita Eagle and the Community Voice which is published and distributed in the northeast part of Wichita with a large African American population.

### **Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership**

- The WHA Tenant Advisory Board (TAB) consists of Section 8 HCV clients and Public Housing tenants. WHA management staff attend the TAB meetings and work with board members to develop policies, the agency annual and five year plans, discuss and prioritize capital fund program spending plans. The TAB reviews and comments on reports staff submit to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). One member of the TAB is a member of the WHA Board and votes on all policy, funding applications, utility allowances, etc.
- Section 8 HCV Family Self-Sufficiency program promotes homeownership and 60 clients have purchased their own homes.

### **Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

WHA has not provided assistance to troubled PHA's, but is open to such an opportunity if the PHA or HUD would invite us to help.

## **CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)**

**Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)**

During this program year, the Housing and Community Service Department developed a Comprehensive Housing Policy for consideration by the Wichita City Council. The policy is divided into the four areas of housing affordability, housing availability, neighborhood stability and resources. Each policy area includes an array of strategies which were developed in conjunction with the Wichita Area Metropolitan Planning Department and determined to be consistent with both the Five Year Consolidated Plan and the City of Wichita Comprehensive Plan. Public meetings have been scheduled to gather feedback from community stakeholders for each of the policy areas. It is anticipated that the final policy will be presented to Council during 2015.

**Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The City of Wichita is considering several strategies on a small scale, to develop more affordable housing and eliminate neighborhood blight. A pilot program will be introduced in late 2015, to acquire tax foreclosed vacant property and work with nonprofit housing developers to create new affordable housing.

**Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The City will continue to partner with the Kansas Department for Health and Environment to enhance home repair programs funded with CDBG and HOME, pending funding availability.

**Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The City of Wichita has implemented several strategies as an entity and in partnership with other agencies in the community to address the income obstacle through job training and placement, asset building and financial education, and specialized assistance to persons applying for social security benefits and other government resources. The City is the designated Community Action Agency for Sedgwick County and receives Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) funds to address poverty issues at the community level. The Wichita Sedgwick County Community Action Partnership (WSCCAP), a division of the Housing and Community Services Department, administers several programs to assist individuals and families to overcome the challenges of poverty. During this program year, a total of 578 individuals received medical services with WSCCAP assistance, 132 individuals and/or families received family development services, and 9 families participated in financial literacy and savings match programs.

Additionally, the City continues to offer bi-lingual written materials, and to market programs and

services through three neighborhood resource centers. Program staff provide an array of community engagement and support activities for low and moderate income citizens.

**Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

WHA is a member of West Wichita Promise Neighborhood which is a coalition of public and private agencies committed to provide support for children from ‘cradle to career’ in the Wichita West High School feeder system. One huge outcome for 2015 is lower absenteeism and the highest number of graduates. The United Way of the Plains is the lead agency and others include USD 259, the City of Wichita, Child Start, Project Access, GraceMed Clinic, Connecting Point, Kansas Children’s Service League, Wichita State University, Newman University, Communities in Schools, Catholic Charities, Senior Services, the Delano Neighborhood Association, and Sunflower Neighborhood and Business Association.

WHA contracts with Central Plains Area Agency (CPAAA) on the HUD funded ROSS-Service Coordinator grant. CPAAA provides the service coordinator and other agencies including the Independent Living Resource Center, Senior Services, Inc., Sedgwick County COMCARE, Sedgwick County In-Home Services, Wichita Fire and Police departments, GraceMed, Hunter Health Clinic, and the Mental Health Association provide supportive services and in-kind matching funds.

**Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

Education has been listed as the primary need to ensure fair housing choice in Wichita. To address that need the City partnered with staff from Housing and Credit Counseling, Inc. and the Urban League of Kansas, to provide a full day education workshop on fair housing. Landlords were the focus on the morning session and session for tenants took place in the afternoon. The workshops were supported with CDBG funds.

## **CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230**

**Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements**

The City of Wichita monitors all CDBG, ESG, and HOME-funded activities. Expenditures and accomplishments are reviewed and documented monthly to assure eligibility, compliance, and performance. Actual expenses and outcomes are compared to anticipated targets to ensure both timeliness of spending and achievement of anticipated goals. Progress for all activities is documented quarterly, and an assessment of risk is conducted annually to identify and determine monitoring requirements for subrecipients and subgrantees. Onsite monitoring is conducted annually for specified activities. Year-end accomplishment reports are compiled for all funded activities. All stakeholders, including funded providers, are invited to public meetings and hearings during the program year to provide input into the planning and funding process.

## **Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)**

**Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.**

The 2014-15 Consolidated Annual Performance Report (CAPER) was made available for public comment during the period from August 24 through September 7, 2015. Hard copies were made available at Wichita City Hall, Housing and Community Services Department, all Neighborhood Resource Centers, and selected branch libraries, as well as online at the City Website. Comments could be made in writing, via email, and in person at a public hearing during the Wichita City Council meeting on September 22. The public hearing was advertised in the newspaper on August 21 and September 14. Information about the CAPER and the solicitation of comments was advertised on City-7, the City's local cable channel, throughout the comment period and until the hearing date.

**CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)**

**Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.**

<b>Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?</b>	No
--	----

**[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.**

## **CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)**

### **Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations**

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

All rental housing projects that received City HOME funding, which are the subject of a HOME affordability period, are monitored annually, on-site, even if not required under the HOME regulation. Following is a summary of the results of on-site inspections and compliance monitoring of HOME-assisted rental housing:

SANCHO Market Street Studio Apartments: Project was found to be in compliance with HOME regulations and requirements.

Mental Health Association (Pinecrest Place Senior Residences): Project was found to be in compliance with HOME regulations and requirements.

Innes Station Apartments: Project was found to be in compliance with HOME regulations and requirements.

Eaton Place Apartments: Project was found to be in compliance with HOME regulations and requirements.

Mennonite Housing Country Acres: Project was found to be in compliance with HOME regulations and requirements.

Harvester Apartments: Project was found to be in compliance with HOME regulations and requirements.

Interfaith Villa North: Project was found to be in compliance with HOME regulations and requirements.

Power CDC Single-Family Rental: Project was found to be in compliance with HOME regulations and requirements. Technical assistance provided with respect to income certification process.

### **Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)**

The City has established minimum Affirmative Marketing requirements for HOME-assisted rental and homebuyer projects. These requirements are incorporated into all funding agreement when the project

involves five or more HOME-assisted units.

Each recipient of HOME funds for projects requiring compliance with affirmative marketing regulations is contractually required to prepare a written Affirmative Marketing Plan for their respective projects, when applicable. The Affirmative Marketing Plan must be available for public inspection in the recipient organization's office. Each plan must contain specific steps/actions that the recipient organization will take to provide information and otherwise attract eligible persons of all racial ethnic, and gender groups in the housing market area to the available housing.

Housing developers accessing HOME funding conduct outreach to the community by providing homeownership training services, housing counseling, and also by providing information regarding proposed projects in community newspapers, the Wichita Eagle, and through local radio stations. HOME-funded developers share in the affirmative marketing responsibilities for the City's homebuyer program, as part of these efforts.

**Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics**

A total of \$181,945.87 in additional funding was provided for HOME-assisted projects during the program year, as a result of Program income received.

\$141,650 was allocated for three additional single-family homes to be developed under the City's Housing Development Loan Program. One of the homes was sold during the program year to a moderate income, African-American two member family, with a down payment assistance loan provided. Two of the single-family homes are currently under construction.

\$14,188 was allocated to a previously funded single-family development project, to cover the costs of change orders associated with environmental review requirements/noise mitigation for two homes.

\$5,965.00 was allocated to a previously funded project to cover a change order associated with site improvements for a single-family home constructed and sold during the program year. The home was sold during the program year to a low-income White/Hispanic single adult.

\$20,142.87 in program income was allocated for coverage of HOME Program Administration expenses, in accordance with HOME Program regulations.

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)**

# CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

## ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

### For Paperwork Reduction Act

#### 1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

##### Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	WICHITA
Organizational DUNS Number	043063460
EIN/TIN Number	486000653
Identify the Field Office	KANSAS CITY
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Wichita/Sedgwick County CoC

##### ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms.
First Name	MARY
Middle Name	K.
Last Name	VAUGHN
Suffix	
Title	Director

##### ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	332 N. Riverview
Street Address 2	
City	Wichita
State	Kansas
ZIP Code	67202
Phone Number	3164623795
Extension	
Fax Number	3163379103
Email Address	mkvaughn@wichita.gov

##### ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms.
First Name	Lesa
Last Name	Lank
Suffix	
Title	Program Coordinator Community Investments
Phone Number	3164623725
Extension	
Email Address	llank@wichita.gov

## 2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

**Program Year Start Date** 07/01/2014  
**Program Year End Date** 06/30/2015

### 3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** WICHITA  
**City:** WICHITA  
**State:** KS  
**Zip Code:** 67203  
**DUNS Number:** 043063460  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** No  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Unit of Government  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$54,370

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Center of Hope, Inc.  
**City:** Wichita  
**State:** KS  
**Zip Code:** 67202, 2514  
**DUNS Number:** 052211062  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** No  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$18,124

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** United Way of the Plains, Inc.  
**City:** Wichita  
**State:** KS  
**Zip Code:** 67202, 1201  
**DUNS Number:** 033537929  
**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** No  
**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization  
**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$1,311

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Inter-Faith Ministries Wichita, Inc.

**City:** Wichita

**State:** KS

**Zip Code:** 67214, 3519

**DUNS Number:** 113265607

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** No

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$18,100

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Catholic Charities, Inc - SAFS

**City:** Wichita

**State:** KS

**Zip Code:** 67214, 3504

**DUNS Number:** 119770428

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** No

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Faith-Based Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$21,000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Salvation Army

**City:** Wichita

**State:** KS

**Zip Code:** 67202, 2010

**DUNS Number:** 827822904

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** No

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Faith-Based Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$21,000

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** United Methodist Open Door

**City:** Wichita

**State:** KS

**Zip Code:** 67201, 2756

**DUNS Number:** 047237131

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** No

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$29,400

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** YWCA

**City:** Wichita

**State:** KS

**Zip Code:** 67201, 1740

**DUNS Number:** 556421030

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Yes

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$21,051

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Catholic Charities - Harbor House

**City:** Wichita

**State:** KS

**Zip Code:** 67202, 2413

**DUNS Number:** 119770428

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** Yes

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Faith-Based Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$17,891

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Inter-Faith Ministries - WSWS

**City:** Wichita

**State:** KS

**Zip Code:** 67214, 3519

**DUNS Number:** 113265607

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** No

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:** \$6,724

## CR-65 - Persons Assisted

### 4. Persons Served

#### 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	42
Children	51
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>93</b>

Table 14 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

#### 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	60
Children	86
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>146</b>

Table 15 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

#### 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,877
Children	422
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,299</b>

Table 16 – Shelter Information

**4d. Street Outreach**

<b>Number of Persons in Households</b>	<b>Total</b>
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

**Table 17 – Household Information for Street Outreach**

**4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG**

<b>Number of Persons in Households</b>	<b>Total</b>
Adults	2,979
Children	559
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,538</b>

**Table 18 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG**

**5. Gender—Complete for All Activities**

	<b>Total</b>
Male	2,456
Female	1,080
Transgender	1
Don't Know/Refused/Other	1
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,538</b>

**Table 19 – Gender Information**

## 6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	<b>Total</b>
Under 18	559
18-24	331
25 and over	2,648
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,538</b>

Table 20 – Age Information

## 7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

### Number of Persons in Households

<b>Subpopulation</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – Prevention</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – RRH</b>	<b>Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters</b>
Veterans	0	0	0	260
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	197
Elderly	0	0	0	100
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	6
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	231
<b>Persons with Disabilities:</b>				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	320
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	622
Other Disability	0	0	0	545
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	906

Table 21 – Special Population Served

## CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

### 10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	68,430
Total Number of bed-nights provided	62,150
Capacity Utilization	90.82%

Table 22 – Shelter Capacity

### 11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The Wichita/Sedgwick County Continuum of Care has identified program outcome targets for every provider receiving ESG funding which are aligned with the Continuum of Care grant application and CoC funding award for our jurisdiction. Those CoC-identified outcomes are included in the individual funding agreements between the ESG-funded providers and the City of Wichita, along with the additional program outcome data each provider included in the proposal(s) for ESG funding. Each provider measures the total number of program participants achieving housing stability during the operating year, with a community goal the 70% of participants will achieve housing stability. Due to the variation in reporting dates between the City of Wichita program year, and the CoC operating year, final (collective) outcome data for all providers in the CoC is not yet available. Based on individual program performance data submitted to the City by ESG-funded providers, that target may need to be reduced to 60%. This is due to the fact that several shelters have shorter lengths of stay, and may be less likely to reach the community-wide target.

## CR-75 – Expenditures

### 11. Expenditures

#### 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2012	2013	2014
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	3,838	15,232	14,347
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Homelessness Prevention</b>	<b>3,838</b>	<b>15,232</b>	<b>14,347</b>

Table 23 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

#### 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2012	2013	2014
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	66,137	45,696	53,796
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing</b>	<b>66,137</b>	<b>45,696</b>	<b>53,796</b>

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

#### 11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2012	2013	2014
Essential Services	0	96,040	132,985
Operations	133,159	0	0
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>133,159</b>	<b>96,040</b>	<b>132,985</b>

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

**11d. Other Grant Expenditures**

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2012	2013	2014
HMIS	3,500	0	1,311
Administration	16,190	11,400	6,903
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 26 - Other Grant Expenditures

**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

Total ESG Funds Expended	2012	2013	2014
600,534	222,824	168,368	209,342

Table 27 - Total ESG Funds Expended

**11f. Match Source**

	2012	2013	2014
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	0	0	0
Private Funds	144,532	256,553	237,770
Other	96,752	33,600	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
<b>Total Match Amount</b>	<b>241,284</b>	<b>290,153</b>	<b>237,770</b>

Table 28 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

**11g. Total**

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2012	2013	2014
1,369,741	464,108	458,521	447,112

Table 29 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities



**City of Wichita  
City Council  
September, 2015**

**Jeff Longwell, Mayor  
James Clendenin, District 3 and Vice Mayor  
Jeff Blubaugh, District 4  
Bryan Frye, District 5  
Pete Meitzner, District 2  
Janet Miller, District 6  
Lavonta Williams, District 1**

