

Stormwater Advisory Board
Meeting Minutes
November 15, 2013

I. Welcome and Call to Order the regular meeting of the Stormwater Advisory Board was called to order at 3:05pm on November 15, 2013 in The W.A.T.E.R. Center by Chris Bohm (Chair).

Present

Board Members

Greg Allison
Richard Basore
Chris Bohm
Hoyt Hillman
David Leyh
Gary Oborny
Joe Pajor

City of Wichita Staff

Scott Lindebak
Jim Hardesty
Mark Hall

Visitors

Daniel Schrant, Sedgwick County Stormwater Engineer
Brian Glenn, AMEC
Ron Graber, KSRE
Josh Roe, KSRE
Tom Stiles, KDHE
Mike Tate, KDHE
Brian Meier, BMcD

Absent

Board Members

Jeff Bradley
Mitch Mitchell
Jim Weber

City of Wichita Staff

Dale Goter (CMO)
Don Henry

II. Approval of Minutes

Bohm asked the board to review the minutes from September 20th, 2013 and said that he would entertain a motion to approve them. Pajor motioned to approve Oborny seconded.

III. Review Draft Survey Based on Board Comments

Bohm asked Oborny if he had the Survey Monkey results from the survey of developers. Oborny said that he did not have it but that he would have it ready at the next meeting. Bohm said that this item will be an agenda item for the next meeting.

Action: Oborny to have draft of survey for review

IV. Review Updates from Off-Site BMP Program

Bohm asked Lindebak to go over the revisions that were made. Lindebak stated that the revisions were ones that the board went over in September and he wanted to make sure that everyone was up to speed. Lindebak said that in Section II Item D; what was removed was the pollution ratio. Section IV Administration & Reporting Admin Section C was added and Lindebak stated that as an alternative to

the City owning the property would be entering into a 20 year lease. Bohm said that there was a need to take a time out because the City Clerk was in attendance at the meeting to swear in members who had not yet been sworn in for the new term. Pajor asked that Basore, Leyh, and Allison come to the front of the room and see the City Clerk. The City Clerk, Karen Sublet, performed her duties and had all three members were sworn in for another term. Bohm then asked about Jeff Bradley and Lindebak advised the board that Bradley resigned his seat and that a letter had been signed by Alan King to the WSPE President asking that they appoint a new member to the board. With that information Bohm asked that everyone go back to the draft that Lindebak was explaining. Bohm said the only point he wanted to make on the last review that Lindebak made about the request from the City of Wichita for a permanent easement for the BMPs was that as a board they had discussed that maybe that was not the best way to do it and that the board go to Mr. King and ask if the WRAPS Program can be used in lieu of. Bohm asked if that was a fair assessment and asked that there be a WRAPS element vs. City owned. Lindebak went on with his review of the draft policy and Bohm said that the third thing that the board needed to talk about was the funding. He asked if there were any other comments on the draft policy, Oborny asked if there were copies for the board, to which there was not; as the copies were given out at the September meeting. Bohm asked the draft be emailed and that this item be added to the next agenda for a brief comment period.

Action: Renee Batchman to email draft policy to members and add this item to agenda for December's meeting.

V. Review Elements of the WRAPS Program & the WRAPS costs per ton

Bohm introduced Ron Graber and Graber introduced Josh Roe in place of Rob Stutzman. Graber started his presentation by saying that he will specifically talk about the Little Ark watershed because that is the one that he has worked the most with. See Attached PowerPoint. Graber advised the group that the actual monitoring data for Black Kettle began in 09' and finished up in 10', in 11' and 12' there was not enough data, they do have data for 13' and they have seen a sediment load reduction in the this period of time. Graber went on to say that they have had a really good response to the program and there are participants, they (participants) know they have a problem they just needed someone to help them know what to do. They have had great participation and seen great results. They have had one land owner leave the program but that was because the land changed ownership. Josh Roe took over the presentation. He opened by saying the he has been with WRAPS all over the state working on the numbers and the other things with the WRAPS Program. See Attached PowerPoint. Oborny asked the Engineering 'people' on the board about concurring with the numbers. Bohm indicated that he had not broken down any numbers and asked Allison if he had, he replied No. Bohm said that if the numbers are EPA numbers then they must have looked at some kind of composite look at a snap shot across the nation to see what we are looking at. Josh went over more of the BMPs and the cost effectiveness. Bohm then gave the board an example: If we put in 30 hydrodynamic separators in a yr at \$20,000 ea that would be \$600,000 this would fund the program for 2 ½ years but he would guess that we wouldn't pump anything close to 70,000 tons of sediment per year. He said not to think about what anyone else is doing just the hydrodynamic separators alone. The ratio would be 2 ½ times. Bohm thanked Josh for coming and presenting. Bohm brought a question to the group, is the time now to present a letter to Mr. King that we have talked about it and we have had representatives from the State come in and we have a tentative idea that EPA is okay with a WRAPS program, can we move on with a pilot program. Could we move past a City owned, maintained & operated program and look at the draft policy where we would go to the WRAPS program. If we could do that and get agreement than we would remove another thing off of the list. Then it would be two things: what is the ratio and last question who pays for it. Oborny said that there was a need to do a cost analysis of two or three ways we could handle this and look at benefit vs. cost and effectiveness and funding however we figure this out that we must

weight both sides. How is this responsibility going to be divided up. Bohm asked Lindebak if there is any funding available to give these questions to a consulting firm and they could take the data from the State and get some answers. Lindebak said that we are at the end of the year but he could get with Joe and Alan and see how they wanted to proceed. Pajor said that this is a reasonable course because as a committee this has been taken as far as it could go. He said that a consultant is control ground and a fresh set of eyes, he said that this is good information for him and Scott to take back and have a discussion. Bohm said that he would like to do that before the end of the year and he wanted a motion that the board will write a letter to Mr. King requesting this and the scope will be as proposed by Pajor and Lindebak or can be reviewed at the next board meeting. Hillman made a motion to have Bohm, Pajor, and Lindebak write an informal letter to Mr. King to request to have an evaluation with a consultant to see if a pilot program would work. Pajor seconded.

Action: Bohm, Pajor, Lindebak to have draft letter to Mr. King for review.

VI. Review Updated Changes to the Stormwater Manual

Lindebak went over the same information that was presented by Jim Hardesty at the September meeting. Lindebak said that if there was motion to make the changes he would make the recommendation to make the changes. Hillman made motion and Lehy seconded.

VII.

Adjournment

Bohm asked for a motion to adjourn, unknown member motioned and unknown member seconded meeting ended at 4:50pm.