

Appendix H – Prioritization Checklist

This appendix presents an example project prioritization checklist. A structured process to determine which projects meet the Pedestrian Plan goals is presented in Chapter 6 and recommended as an implementation action (see Strategy 10). This example checklist uses nine categories to prioritize projects. The categories are weighted based on how well they address the goals of the Wichita Pedestrian Master Plan (see Chapter 3) with a total possible score of 100 points. Projects receiving the highest scores should be considered to be more in line with the Plan and are recommended for prioritization over projects with lower scores.

EXAMPLE

Wichita Pedestrian Master Plan Project Prioritization Checklist - Example

Project Name	
Project Description	
Planned Construction Date	
Project Manager	

Students

Maximum of 20 points possible

Weighting Category	Score
1. Is the proposed project within 0.25 miles of a school property? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes (10 points) • No (0 points) 	
2. Is the proposed project along a school walking route? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes (15 points) • No (0 points) 	
1. Will the proposed project make improvements to one or more pedestrian crossings that is/are along school walking route? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes (20 points) • No (0 points) 	
Highest Score	

Seniors

Maximum of 20 points possible

Weighting Category	Score
1. Is the proposed project within 0.25 miles of a multi-family senior housing development? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (10 points)• No (0 points)	
2. Is the proposed project along a senior walking route? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (15 points)• No (0 points)	
3. Will the proposed project make improvements to one or more pedestrian crossings that is/are along senior walking route? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (20 points)• No (0 points)	
	Highest Score

Pedestrian Crossings

Maximum of 15 points possible

Will the proposed project improve a priority pedestrian crossing identified as part of Strategy 2 or 3 in the Pedestrian Plan? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (15 points)• No (0 points)	
--	--

Gaps

Maximum of 10 points possible

Will the proposed project fill in a gap in the existing pedestrian network? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (10 points)• No (0 points)	
---	--

Safety

Maximum of 10 points possible

Is the proposed project along a one mile segment of a Safety Corridor with 15 crashes or more? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes, a segment with 15 or more crashes (10 points)• Yes, a segment with 1-14 crashes (5 points)• No (0 points)	
---	--

Transit Users

Maximum of 10 points possible

Weighting Category	Score
1. Will the proposed project provide a connection to a transit route? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes, 1 route (5points)• Yes, 2+ routes (10 points)• No (0 points)	
3. Will the proposed project make a pedestrian crossing improvement along a transit route? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (10 points)	
Highest Score	

Public Concern

Maximum of 5 points

Will the proposed project address a public concern, such as comments submitted through Wichita Reports or other documented concerns? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (5 points)• No (0 points)	
---	--

Travel Connections

Maximum of 5 points

Will the proposed project provide a connection between transportation origins and destinations? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (5 points)• No (0 points)	
--	--

Public Park or Amenity Connections

Maximum of 5 points

Will the proposed project provide access to one or more parks and/or public amenities? <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes (5 points)• No (0 points)	
---	--

Total Score:

Note: an additional consideration may be needed to address geographic equity of the distribution of projects.